



Listen to the ocean

Integrative modelling for Shelf Seas Biogeochemistry

J Icarus Allen on behalf of WP4

Winchester 5th June 2017











Ecosystem Services

1. Described by ecosystem model Marine Ecosystem Components

Habitats Functional diversity

Processes Production Decomposition Foodwebs Ecological interactions



3. Informed by ecosystem model Final Services

Provisioning Fish & Shellfish Seaweed

Regulating Climate regulation

Cultural Healthy environment

The overarching scientific goal is to enhance our capacity to assess the physical, chemical and biological controls on biogeochemical cycling and hence to quantify with uncertainties the budgets of C, N, P, Si including their response to climate, natural variability and anthropogenic stress, with a focus on the NW European Shelf.



Joint vision for **SSB** and **MERP**



ERSEM: SSB-v0

Plymouth Marine Laboratory

PML



ERSEM v16.06

Equivalent to ERSEM v15.06 but in FABM Allows for flexible configuration Used in the 2 SSB modelling workshops



LEGO® is not involved in the project and the content of this presentation has not been approved by them

ERSEM: SSB-v1 & v2



Major updates: V1

- > TEP
- > SMP
- Pelagic Si remin.
- Fluff layer
- Benthic carbonate

Major updates: v2

- Generic Prokaryote
- ➢ N fixers/N20
- Benthic redox
- Macro faunal predators

Model Hindcast and Validation



PML Plymouth Marine

Model Validation at Celtic Deep.



See AMM7 hindcast: validation and major biogeochemical dynamics (WP4) *Yuri Artioli et al and* ERSEM model comparisons with SSB observations: benthic oxygen dynamics (WP4, WP2 & WP1) *John Aldridge et al*

PML Plymouth Marine Laboratory

H1: The post bloom flux of particulate material into the benthos drives the release of Fe back into the pelagic system during the summer and hence the export of Fe off the shelf (WP3)



See Update on iron modelling (WP4 & WP3) Sonja van Leeuwen et al

With respect to progressing this work, we have:

- Joint workshop with WP3
- Pooled WP3 Fe data to help with validating the model
- Tested existing Fe phytoplankton parameters against available data – some of these will need updating, since they are not appropriate for shelf sea taxa
- Performed simple runs using the old Fe model at L4 (JC) and at the WP3 sampling sites (SVL)
- Implemented an improved parameterisation of scavenging (LP), but n ot yet tested in 1D.

PML Plymouth Marine Laboratory

H2: The shelf sea carbon pump is driven by an imbalance between C:N or C:P in inflowing and outflowing waters. I.e. the shelf sea carbon pump arises not because shelf seas are highly productive (which is not necessarily true at a shelf scale), but because they have internal mechanisms that generate this imbalance (WP1, 2, 5).

We have the simulation ready to explore this (hindcast v0), Analysis of C:N fluxes to start summer 17. of the C:N fluxes before the meeting, See AMM7 hindcast: validation and major biogeochemical dynamics (WP4) *Yuri Artioli et al*

H3: Autotroph community structure and resource availability significantly influence the stoichiometry of organic matter through increasing C:N:P:Si ratios under nutrient depleted conditions and hence the redox conditions of the benthic system. (WP1, 2, 3).

See Phytoplankton stoichiometry: the (missing) link between grazing and recalcitrant DOM production (WP4) *Luca Polimene*

Analysis of the hindcast planned for autumn 2017.



N uptake from phyto/ local sources (blue=source provide more N than needed by phyto; red=phyto need external sources of N, ie. advection)





H4: Calcification (both benthic and pelagic) exerts a significant impact on the shelf seas inorganic carbon budget. (WP5).

Analysis of the hindcast planned for autumn 2017.

4.5

4.0

3.5

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

H5: UK shelf seas are a source of N2O and a sink for CO2. (WP1, 5)

See: Modelling shelf-wide air-sea fluxes of N₂O (WP4) *Gennadi Lessin* Spatial distribution and carbon flux budgets in the hindcast runs (WP4) *Tiago Silva et al*







Air –Sea Fluxes





H8: Shelf scale biogeochemical budgets are sensitive to changes in physical processes (e.g. resuspension, advection though sediments, waves) acting at the benthic pelagic interface. (WP2).

A first look the model including flow through permeable sediments doesn't big differences. Present parameterisations don't consider all the possible effects of pore water flow, so may be preliminary to draw any conclusions.

Further analysis underway



PML Plymouth Marine Laboratory

H9: Shelf seas biogeochemical budgets are sensitive to changes in benthic community structure and activity mediated by anthropogenic disturbance (e.g. trawling). (WP2)

See poster by Artioli: Modelling impact of bottom trawling on carbon cycling*

H10: SSB ERSEM-NEMO has seasonal scale forecast skill (up to 6 months ahead).

Code is in place to extract forcing fields from the GloSea5 seasonal forecast system to drive the NEMO-ERSEM NWS model. Next step is to extract initial conditions from the T3 historical NEMO-ERSEM run. Once these are ready a selection of case study years (high/mid/low production) will be run and skill examined for physical (Met O) and BGC (PML) variables.

A paper (Tinker et al.) on drivers of interannual variability in physical variables on the NW Shelf, based on analysis of the NWS reanalysis, is in advanced draft. Change in total biomass



Change in C burial





mgC/m

